A partial order on partitions and the generalized Vandermonde determinant
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Abstract

We introduce a partial order on partitions which permits an inductive proof on partitions. As an example of this technique, we reprove the discriminant formula for the generalized Vandermonde determinant.
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1. A partial order on partitions

A partition of a positive integer $n$ is a nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r$ that sum to $n$. For a partition of $n$ other than $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ we define a unique predecessor as follows. Suppose $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \neq (1, \ldots, 1)$ is a partition. Let $m_r$ be the last element $> 1$; thus,

$$(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = (m_1, \ldots, m_s, 1, \ldots, 1).$$

The predecessor of $m$ is the partition of length $r + 1$,

$$\tilde{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_s-1, m_s-1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1),$$
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obtained from $m$ by decomposing $m_s$ into two terms $(m_s - 1) + 1$. In other words,

$$\tilde{m}_i = \begin{cases} 
m_i, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq s - 1; \\
m_s - 1, & \text{for } i = s; \\
1, & \text{for } s + 1 \leq i \leq r + 1.
\end{cases}$$

This relation generates a partial order on the set $P_n$ of all partitions of $n$.

If a partition $\alpha$ is a predecessor of a partition $\beta$, we say that $\beta$ is a successor of $\alpha$. The successors of $(m_1, \ldots, m_s, 1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, $m_s > 1$, are

$$(m_1, \ldots, m_s + 1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \text{ or } (m_1, \ldots, m_s, 2, 1, \ldots, 1),$$

if these are partitions.

This partial order is best illustrated with an example.

**Example.** For $n = 5$, the partial order on the set of partitions of 5 is as in Fig. 1.

In Fig. 1 we write

$$(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = m_1 + \cdots + m_r.$$ 

The partition $(2, 2, 1)$ has no successors because $(2, 3)$ is not a partition.

2. The generalized Vandermonde determinant

Given $n$ distinct numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ the Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix}
a_1^{n-1} & a_1^{n-2} & \cdots & a_1 & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_n^{n-1} & a_n^{n-2} & \cdots & a_n & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

is ubiquitous in mathematics. It is computable from the well-known discriminant formula (see, for example, [1, Chapter III, §8.6, p. 99], or [3, §24, Exercice 14, p. 563])

$$\Delta(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \prod_{i<j} (a_i - a_j).$$

(1)
For a variable $x$, define $R(x)$ to be the row vector of length $n$,

$$R(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x^{n-1} & x^{n-2} & \ldots & x & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Denote the $k$th derivative of $R(x)$ by $R^{(k)}(x)$. For a positive integer $\ell$, define $M_\ell(x)$ to be the $\ell$ by $n$ matrix whose first row is $R(x)$ and each row thereafter is the derivative with respect to $x$ of the preceding row,

$$M_\ell(x) = \begin{bmatrix} R(x) \\ R'(x) \\ \vdots \\ R^{(\ell-1)}(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

If $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ is an $r$-tuple of distinct real numbers and $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ a partition of $n$, the generalized Vandermonde matrix $M_m(a)$ and the generalized Vandermonde determinant $D_m(a)$ are defined to be

$$M_m(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{m_1}(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ M_{m_r}(a_r) \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_m(a) = \det M_m(a).$$ 

We say that $m_i$ is the multiplicity of $a_i$. When the multiplicities $m_i$ are all 1, the generalized Vandermonde determinant $D_m(a)$ reduces to the usual Vandermonde determinant $\Delta(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$.

**Theorem 1** [6]. Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ be an $r$-tuple of distinct real numbers and $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ a partition of $n$. Then

$$D_m(a) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{m_i(m_i-1)/2} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{m_i-1} (k!) \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (a_i - a_j)^{m_i m_j}.$$

**Remarks.**

(1) In keeping with the convention that a product over the empty set is 1, in case a multiplicity $m_i = 1$, define

$$\prod_{k=1}^{m_i-1} (k!) = 1.$$

Similarly, in case $r = 1$, define

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (a_i - a_j)^{m_i m_j} = 1.$$

(2) When all the multiplicities $m_i$ are 1, Theorem 1 reduces to formula (1).
Theorem 1 has a long history. Muir [5, pp. 178–180] attributes it to Schendel ([6], article dated 1891, published in 1893), but Muir says of this paper that “in no case is there any hint of a proof” and that special cases had appeared earlier in the work of Weihrauch (1889) and Besso (1882). More recent proofs may be found in van der Poorten [7] and Krattenthaler [4]. Krattenthaler [4] discusses many variants and generalizations of the Vandermonde determinant and gives extensive references.

The classic Vandermonde determinant occurs naturally in the Lagrange interpolation problem of finding a polynomial \( p(z) \) of degree \( n - 1 \) with specified values at \( n \) distinct numbers \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \). The Hermite interpolation problem is the generalization where one specifies not only the values of the polynomial but also the values of its derivatives up to order \( m_i \) at the points \( a_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \) (see, for example, [2]). The discriminant formula (Theorem 1) gives a direct proof that the Hermite interpolation problem has a unique solution.

3. A relation among Vandermonde determinants

**Lemma 2.** Let \( \tilde{m} \) be the predecessor of the \( r \)-tuple

\[
m = (m_1, \ldots, m_{s-1}, \ell + 1, 1, \ldots, 1).
\]

Thus, \( \tilde{m} \) is the \( (r+1) \)-tuple

\[
\tilde{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{s-1}, \ell, 1, 1, \ldots, 1).
\]

For \( t \neq 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R} \), suppose

\[
a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, \lambda, a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_r) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a}(t) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, \lambda + t, a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_r)
\]

have multiplicity vectors \( m \) and \( \tilde{m} \), respectively. Then

\[
D_m(a) = \lim_{t \to 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \ell D_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}(t)).
\]

**Proof.** The Vandermonde matrix \( M_m(a) \) is obtained from \( M_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}) \) by replacing the submatrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{\ell}(\lambda) \\
R(\lambda + t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

by the submatrix \( M_{\ell+1}(\lambda) \). Note that

\[
M_{\ell+1}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix}
M_\ell(\lambda) \\
R(\ell)(\lambda)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
M_\ell(\lambda) \\
\lim_{t \to 0} (\partial/\partial t) \ell R(\lambda + t)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  (2)
Since the determinant can be expanded about any row,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \det \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & M_{\ell}(\lambda) & M_{\ell}(\lambda) \\ R(\lambda + t) & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & M_{\ell}(\lambda) \\ (\partial/\partial t) R(\lambda + t) & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

and therefore,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\ell} D_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}(t)) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & M_{\ell}(\lambda) \\ (\partial/\partial t)^{\ell} R(\lambda + t) & \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$ (3)

By (2) and (3),

$$D_m(a) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & M_{\ell}(\lambda) \\ R^{(\ell)}(\lambda) & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{t \to 0} \det \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & M_{\ell}(\lambda) \\ (\partial/\partial t)^{\ell} R(\lambda + t) & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \lim_{t \to 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^{\ell} D_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}(t)).$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is by induction on the partial order on the set of partitions of $n$. The initial case $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ corresponds to the usual Vandermonde determinant, for which we know the theorem holds.

Let the $r$-tuple

$$a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, \lambda, a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_r)$$

have multiplicity vector

$$m = (m_1, \ldots, m_{s-1}, \ell + 1, 1, \ldots, 1), \quad \text{with } \ell \geq 1.$$ 

By the induction hypothesis, we assume that the theorem holds for the predecessor $\tilde{m}$ of $m$:

$$\tilde{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{s-1}, \ell, 1, 1, \ldots, 1).$$

Take $\tilde{a}(t)$ to be

$$\tilde{a}(t) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, \lambda, \lambda + t, a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_r)$$
and assign to \( \tilde{a}(t) \) the multiplicity vector \( \tilde{m} \). By the induction hypothesis,

\[
D_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}(t)) = C \cdot \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r, i, j \neq s} (a_i - a_j)^{m_{ij}} \right) \cdot (\lambda - (\lambda + t))^\ell \times \left( \prod_{i < s} (a_i - \lambda)^{m_i} (\lambda + t - a_j)^{m_j} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{s < j} (\lambda - a_j)^{m_j} (\lambda + t - a_j)^{m_j} \right).
\]

where

\[
C = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{m_i(m_i-1)/2} \right) \left( (-1)^{\ell(\ell-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_i} (k!) \right) \prod_{k=1}^{\ell-1} (k!).
\]

We write this more simply as

\[
D_{\tilde{m}}(\tilde{a}(t)) = (-1)^{\ell} t^\ell f(t),
\]

where \( f(t) \) is the obvious function defined by Eq. (4).

By Lemma 2,

\[
D_m(a) = \lim_{t \to 0} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^\ell (-1)^{\ell} t^\ell f(t) = \lim_{t \to 0} (-1)^{\ell} \ell! f(t) + (-1)^{\ell} \lim_{t \to 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^k t^k \cdot f^{(\ell-k)}(t)
\]

(product rule for the derivative)

\[
= (-1)^{\ell} \ell! f(0)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{\ell} \ell! C \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r, i, j \neq s} (a_i - a_j)^{m_{ij}} \prod_{i < s} (a_i - \lambda)^{m_i} \prod_{s < j} (\lambda - a_j)^{m_j} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_i} (k!) \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (a_i - a_j)^{m_{ij}}
\]

(since \( m_s = \ell + 1 \) and \( a_s = \lambda \)).

In this last expression, the product \( \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \) may be replaced by \( \prod_{i=1}^{r} \), since for \( s + 1 \leq i \leq r \), the multiplicity \( m_i = 1 \).
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