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ABSTRACT

Micromachined structures with diameters ranging from 50 - 100 µm have been applied to the measurement of the microscale shearing forces present at the wafer-pad interface during chemical mechanical polishing (CMP). The structures are 80 µm high poly-dimethyl-siloxane posts with bending stiffnesses ranging from 1.6 to 14 µN/µm. The structures were polished using a stiff, un-grooved pad and 3 wt% fumed silica slurry at relative velocities of approximately 0.5 m/s and downforces of approximately 1 psi. Observed lateral forces on the structures were on the order of 5-500 µN in magnitude, and highly variable in time.

Keywords: shear force, sensor, polishing, chemical mechanical planarization, CMP

1 INTRODUCTION

Chemical Mechanical Planarization (CMP) is a critical process for semiconductor manufacturing. As feature sizes continue to shrink, planarity continues to be an important consideration for successful lithography. The CMP process is widely used and, for certain systems, has been characterized experimentally in terms of many of the polishing parameters. Still, a comprehensive model involving the multitude of process variables and their effects on material removal rates, planarity, and defectivity remains elusive [1], [2].

The development and validation of some aspects of this “total CMP model” is hindered by lack of knowledge of in situ shear forces present at the micro-scale [3]. Experimental data of shear forces from single asperities would provide a comparison point for further research at this scale. In a more direct sense, knowledge of the local shear forces may be important in designing fragile structures, such as low-k dielectrics, to withstand polish.

In this paper, we detail the development of microfabricated shear stress sensors intended for characterizing these in situ local contact forces during CMP. Other researchers have investigated the average global shear force in situ [4]–[6]. In addition, some groups have investigated micro- or nano-scale forces ex situ [7], [8]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the work described in this paper represents the first attempt to measure microscale polishing forces in situ.

2 DESIGN AND FABRICATION

The sensor structure is shown in Figure 1. The structures are 80 µm tall poly-dimethyl-siloxane (PDMS) cylindrical posts. The post diameters vary from 50 µm to 100 µm. Each post is recessed in a well which leaves a 50 µm wide empty region around the post. The structure is immersed into the polishing slurry and polished. As microscale features on the polishing pad come into contact with the post top, the post deflects. This deflection is observed through the back of the transparent structure using a high speed microscopy setup. Nearly 98% of the wafer surface is planar PDMS; it is only occasionally broken by the annular well region around sensor posts. This allows the majority of the normal force applied by the polishing pad to be carried by the bulk PDMS, thus not compressing or buckling the sensor posts.

While other researchers using micro post shear stress arrays have determined deflections optically, viewing the sensors from the top (e.g. [9]), this is impossible in our application due to the presence of the polishing pad. Thus, we require a transparent sensor and substrate. Our structure also avoids the need for electrical interconnects, which would be difficult to maintain and protect in a polishing environment.

PDMS is chosen for the structure due to the very low elastic modulus, on the order of 750 kPa [10]. This allows deflections of 5-50 µm to be achieved with lateral forces in the range of 4-400 µN (for different diameter posts). The disadvantage of using PDMS is that it is dissimilar from the oxides and metals that are usually polished by the semiconductor industry. We emphasize that the results in this paper are for polishing of PDMS surfaces, and care must be taken when extrapolating these results to other polishing systems.

All microfabrication was conducted in the Tufts Micro and Nanofabrication Facility (TMNF). Sensors were fabricated through a modified two-layer PDMS micro-molding process similar to that described in [11]. In this process a master mold consisting of two layers, one silicon dioxide and one SU-8 photoresist, is used. The sil-
Figure 1: Diagram showing the concept of the recessed micro-post lateral force sensor.

Figure 2: Microscope image of part of the post array, as well as a higher magnification image of a single post in a well.

Figure 3: Typical calibration data before $O_2$ plasma treatment or polishing compared to computational models.

### 3 CALIBRATION

Post stiffness calibration is carried out using a microscale mechanical testing technique, called MAT-Test, developed by Hopcroft et al [12]. The technique utilizes a contact surface profilometer to obtain force deflection curves for small structures. To determine the stiffness of the PDMS sensing posts, calibration posts (not recessed in wells) were fabricated using the same process as the experimental structures. The PDMS was cut and oriented horizontally, so that the stylus tip can travel from the base to the tip of the post. In this fashion, force vs. deflection curves are obtained for various downforces. A Veeco Dektak 6M Stylus Profilometer was used to supply downforces between 10-150 $\mu$N.

An example calibration is shown in Figure 3. The result is compared to the expected post stiffness computed for the 3D structure, including the compliance of the base, using linear elastic finite elements with a modulus
Table 1: Post stiffness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post Diameter (µm)</th>
<th>Before Treatment (µN/µm)</th>
<th>During Polish (µN/µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of 750 kPa and a Poisson ratio of 0.5 [10]. Agreement is very good for this diameter post and for every other diameter post measured. The response is linear across the measured range.

Additional testing (not shown) indicated that the modulus of the PDMS is influenced by O$_2$ plasma treatment, aging, and polishing. The modulus increased by a factor of 3-5 after O$_2$ plasma treatment (used in bonding). The modulus reduced by about 10% with age (over the course of 3 days). The stiffness of one 80 µm diameter post was measured after molding (before O$_2$ plasma treatment or metallization) and then again after polishing, and was found to increase by a factor of 2.

The stiffness of the posts as predicted by finite element analysis and confirmed by experimental calibration prior to O$_2$ plasma treatment or polishing are given in the first column of Table 1. Due to the observed increase in stiffness, the stiffness used for computing lateral forces from observed deflections *in situ* is twice the FEA computed value, as given in column 2 of the table. It is emphasized that this has only been directly observed on a single 80 µm diameter post, but is assumed to hold approximately true for the other size structures.

### 4 POLISHING FORCES

Polishing studies were conducted in a tabletop polisher (Struers RotoPol 31) using an ungrooved IC1000 pad (Rodell, Newark, Del.). A fumed silica slurry diluted to 3% by weight particle loading (Cabot Microelectronics, Aurora, IL) was used. We emphasize again that the surface of the wafer and the sensing structures are manufactured out of the low modulus polymer polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS); this is likely to have a significant impact on the polishing forces, as compared to the polishing of stiffer materials. In addition, we emphasize that the wafer is not rotating during these experiments due to limitations with the optical setup. The polishing pad is rotating, but the wafer is not. We are not conditioning the pad.

An optical system consisting of a Phantom v7.0 high speed camera with a 12 bit SR-CMOS sensor coupled to a 15 X relay lens and a 10 X microscope objective is used to determine post deflection during CMP. Light is provided to the sensor through the microscope objective using a fiber optic light guide and 90 degree soda lime plate beam splitter. The system is mounted on a micropositioning stage for focusing and positioning. For all experiments described here, the camera resolution and speed are set at 512 x 384 pixels and 10,000 frames per second, respectively. At 10,000 frames per second, for a relative pad speed of 0.5 m/s, asperities can be captured at 50µm intervals. Pixel size is 2µm. Image processing is performed on the recorded movies of post deflection to extract the relative motion of the post top. Edge detection finds points along the edge of the well and along the leading edge of the post top. Two circles are fit to these edges. The relative motion of the center of the circles gives the deflection of the post top in two dimensions. Two example images are shown in Figure 4.

Measurements were conducted with downforces of 5 lbs, 10 lbs, and 15 lbs. This corresponds to an area average normal load of 0.4 psi, 0.8 psi, and 1.2 psi over the wafer area.
the 4" wafer. Two different rotation speeds, 30 rpm and 60 rpm, were used for the polishing platen. The center of the wafer is approximately 10 cm from the center of rotation of the platen, hence 30 rpm corresponds to 0.3 m/s relative velocity, and 60 rpm corresponds to 0.6 m/s relative velocity. Figure 5 shows the magnitude of the maximum observed lateral forces for these various conditions on different sized structures. Increasing the size of the structure leads to an increase in lateral force. Increasing the rotation rate leads to a decrease in lateral force. An increase in downforce does not have a dramatic effect on the observed maximum lateral forces.

A particular time trace of the force on an individual post is shown in Figure 6. The forces are relatively low for much of the time, but periodically spike to higher magnitudes (above 100 µN) for brief periods of time (on the order of 1-10 ms). Similar behavior was observed in most of the test results.

5 CONCLUSIONS

During polishing of PDMS using CMP, the observed lateral forces on 50 - 100 µm diameter structures were on the order of 5-500 µN, with considerable variation of the force level in time. Larger lateral forces were observed for larger diameter structures. Increasing the speed of the polish decreased the lateral forces. Increasing the downforce increased the lateral forces at slow speeds for the largest structures, but had little impact at higher speeds. Force levels were highly variable in time, often maintaining relatively low levels on the order of 50 µN, but periodically spiking to higher magnitudes in excess of 200 µN. This suggests that the majority of the pad and wafer are not in contact, but that large forces occur in limited regions of contact. Hence one cannot extract the local force magnitude simply from a knowledge of the global coefficient of friction.

REFERENCES